## THE BIGELOW LAW FIRM

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

**ESTABLISHED 1897** 

## **GORDON L. BIGELOW**

JOHN L. BIGELOW OF COUNSEL



## "A TRADITION OF TRUST AND INTEGRITY"

JOHN H. BIGELOW (1875-1965) RICHARD L. BIGELOW (1880-1948) THE HON. RICHARD L. BIGELOW, JR (1915-1988)

February 22, 2005

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

RICHARD L. BIGELOW PATENT ATTORNEY LLC OF COUNSEL

RE: Response to First Office Action, U. S. Patent Application 10/604,787 Larry Collum et al

Dear Sir:

Accompanying this letter is an amendment and response concerning U. S. Patent Application 10/604,787 by Larry Collum *et al* for Golf Clubs and a Method for Using These Golf Clubs.

In response to the First Office Action dated August 24, 2004, in which a shortened statutory period of three months was set, this submittal is:

late by three (3) months. Enclosed is a petition and check for \$510.00 in payment of the extension fee in accordance with 37 CFR 1.17(a)(3).

The response does not add claims requiring payment of an additional fee.

Please charge any deficiency in payment or credit any overpayment to deposit account 501470.

Please contact me at 860-930-3074 if you have any questions or comments.

Very respectfully,

RICHARD L. BIGELOW, ESQ

c: Larry Collum Gordon L. Bigelow, Esq.

136 AIRPORT BELTWAY THE BELTWAY COMMONS HAZLETON PA 18202 PHONE: 570.455.9970 FAX 570.455.9971

345 PIERCE STREET KINGSTON, PA 18724 PHONE 570.718.1133 FAX 570.714.6600

OPE	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/604,787	COLLUM ET AL.
FEH 2 a 2000 ml	Examiner	Art Unit
EB 11	Stephen L. Blau	3711
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Stephen L. Blau</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Richard L. Bigelow</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 October 2004.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: <u>Video and club</u> .		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,5 and 9</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Liao</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed that loft, lie and length were critical features to the invention that the examiner felt were the area that had the most importance. Discussed how the examiner did not think a wood type head for a high loft club carried much weight with respect to novelty due many iron sets now using wood type heads. <u>Discussed how amending the shaft length made the claims even more stronger</u>.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims</u>		
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Evaminer's Si	Downgnature, if required
Attachment to a signed Office action.		3





## **Privacy Act Statement**

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- 3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- 9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.